

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-18 and 20-63 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 23, 33, 41, 60-63 have been amended. Applicant submits that all currently pending claims are in condition for allowance.

#### **Claim Objections**

Claims 1, 62 and 63 have been objected to for reciting “pane level” without a hyphen as consistent with the other pending claims. Applicant has amended claims 1, 62 and 63 to recite “panel-level” as suggested by the Examiner. Withdrawal of the objections is kindly requested.

#### **Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112**

Claims 1-63 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Applicant has amended all of the independent claims 1, 23, 33 and 60-63 to recite that the evaluation is performed to “evaluate the accuracy of the panel-level segment based on an output of a comparison.” The evaluation procedure is consistent with the specification and is accurate and without ambiguity. Withdrawal of the rejection is kindly requested.

Claims 33, 60 and 61 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for being ambiguous regarding matching panel-level data with the same first data. Based on the specification and Examiner’s suggestions, Applicant has amended claims 33, 60 and 61 to recite that the panel-level segments are matched to corresponding portions of the first data. The scope of the claim remains the same and is less ambiguous. Withdrawal of the rejection is kindly requested.

Claims 1-63 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for reciting ambiguous claim recitations. Applicant submits that the above-noted amendments clarify most of the outstanding issues. However, Applicant also notes Examiner’s concerns regarding claims 1, 23, 62 and 63 and has amended those claims to recite that each of the panel-level segments are matched to one standard but the scores are now a plurality of scores as opposed to one score fore multiple panel-level segments. For instance, claim 1 recites “wherein the scores are evaluated against a standard, the standard defining a required score for each of the panel-level segments to be declared as a match to the corresponding expected text.” Withdrawal of the rejection is kindly requested.

Appl. No. 10/673,679  
Amdt. Dated 07/29/2010  
Reply to Office action of 06/17/2010

Accordingly, Applicant is pleased to acknowledge that all outstanding prior art rejections have been withdrawn and that all outstanding formality objections and rejections have been overcome. An allowance of all outstanding claims 1-18 and 20-63 is kindly requested.

**CONCLUSION**

Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at any time.

Respectfully submitted,  
WEST CORPORATION

Date: July 29, 2010

By: /Raffi Gostanian/  
Raffi Gostanian  
Reg. No. 42,595  
Tel: (972) 849-1310